

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,964	04/15/2004	Kenneth T. Heruth	1023-360US01	8232
28863 . 7	7590 09/28/2006		EXAM	INER
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A. 8425 SEASONS PARKWAY			SMITH, FANG	EMONIQUE A
SUITE 105	I AWARA C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, M	IN 55125		3736	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,964	HERUTH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fangemonique Smith	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provisions	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	. 1	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1}{2}$	14/06	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		4
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		i
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	منت المساد الم	Summan (PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>

Application/Control Number: 10/825,964

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, 46-52 and 63-68, drawn to a method for monitoring a plurality of physical parameters in a patient including a sleep metric, classified in class 600, subclass 301.
 - II. Claims 19-45 and 53-62, drawn to a medical system for generating signals representative of physiological parameters of the body to determine the alertness of a patient, which includes a plurality of sensors and an implantable medical device, classified in class 600, subclass 547.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process including using the apparatus for monitoring and storing heart rate measurements while a patient is sleep and in an awakened state. The method further consists of using the data to trigger a neurostimulation program upon detection of a sudden change in the heart rate value, which exceeds the threshold level. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/825,964

Art Unit: 3736

require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Jason D. Kelly on September 22, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fangemonique Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-8160. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FS